

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,625	06/26/2003	Shigeki Matsubara	KAS-183	4454
	7590 10/30/2007 STANGER & MALUI	EXAMINER		
SUITE 370 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			RAMILLANO, LORE JANET	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
	• *			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/603,625	MATSUBARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	l l	Lore Ramillano	1797			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for I	• •	ALC CET TO EVOIDE AMO	NTU(S) OR TURTY (20) DAVS			
WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a replaced rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	<u>igust 2007</u> .				
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)∐ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application	n Papers					
9) <u></u> \⊤r	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.			
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)[] Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
- 3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage			
. 1	application from the International Bureau	, * ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	. <u></u>	·			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/603,625

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/21/07 has been entered.

Status of Claims

2. In reply filed on 8/21/07, applicant amended claims 1-5. Claims 1-5 are pending and under examination in the application.

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

3. The objection to claims 1, 3, and 4 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The rejections of claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph, are withdrawn. In light of applicant's amendments, a new rejection follows.
- 5. Claims 4-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "specified analysis unit." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,625

Art Unit: 1797

Prior art rejections

6. The rejection over the prior art is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohishi et al. ("Ohishi," US 6019945).

Ohishi discloses an automatic analyzer comprising: a conveying unit for conveying a sample (20, Fig. 1); plural analysis units for analyzing the sample conveyed by said conveying line (i.e. 3A, 3B, and 3C, Fig. 1); a central control device for controlling said conveying unit and said analysis units (column 9, lines 43-50); and an information network (40, fig. 1) connecting said central control device and said analysis units, wherein said central control device has a function to separating each of said analysis units from said information network to enable shut off a power supply of a separated one of said analysis units, functions to turn on said power supply of said one analysis unit that has been separated from said information network, and load system software into said one analysis unit, so that said analysis unit returns to an operable state. (i.e. column 9, line 43 to column 10, line 23).

Ohishi further discloses an automatic analyzer comprising: a mode setting screen for displaying said conveying unit and said analysis units (column 4, lines 47-50), and for specifying any one of displayed conveying line and a displayed analysis unit to be separated by said central

Application/Control Number: 10/603,625 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

control device from said information network of said central control device to shut off a power supply of said conveying unit or said analysis unit. In addition, Ohishi discloses that the mode setting screen repeats an operation for specifying any one of said conveying line and said analysis unit, so that said central control device switches between enabling shutting off of said power supply of said specified analysis unit to separate said specified analysis unit from said information network, and again turning on said power supply of said analysis unit to connect said specified analysis unit to said information network. Also, Ohishi discloses that the central control unit is responsive to said mode setting screen specifying any one of the sections displayed on said display means to thereby perform switching between the separation of the specified section from the control of said central control device and the reconnection thereof to said central control device (i.e. column 9, line 43 to column 10, line 23).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Ohishi does not disclose a central control device that has a function to separate each of the analysis units from an information network to shut off a power supply of a separated analysis unit, examiner disagrees. As indicated in column 9, lines 43-50 of Ohishi, Ohishi discloses applicant's claimed invention, as amended. In light of Ohishi's teaching, cited above, it appears that Ohishi properly reads on applicant's amended claim language in claim 1 since Ohishi also teaches a computer that controls the analysis units and power functions.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lore Ramillano whose telephone number is (571) 272-7420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lore Ramillano Examiner Art Unit 1743

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700